

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 09/667,776</p>	<p>Applicant(s) YOSHIKAWA, TAKASHI</p>	
	<p>Examiner Trent J Roche</p>	<p>Art Unit 2124</p>	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Trent J Roche.

(3) Robert Rotella

(2) D. Kent Stier

(4) Kenichiro Fukutani

Date of Interview: 2/26/04

(5) John Chavis

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Discussion of invention

Claim(s) discussed: 1-24

Identification of prior art discussed: Kimura

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Proposed Amendments were discussed. The Examiner raised concerns about claim language. Applicant will look further into concerns. Further consideration will be required.

[Signature]

JOHN CHAVIS
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2124

[Signature]

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required